# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the NTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	AMINING AUTHODITY			MA DY TOWN
To: SCOTT R. HANSEN FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECH			PCT	Wyos
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOO LOS ANGELES, CA 90045	R	_	WRITTEN OPINI	ON
	:	Panase	(PCT Rule 66)	Sto Jeons
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	25 AUG 2	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 1 months/days f	rom
310048-72895	T		the above date of maili	ng
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mo	nth/year)
PCT/US03/25584	15 August 2003 (15.08.		16 August 2002 (16.0	8.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC		
IPC(7): B32B 3/16; G09F 3/10 and US	Cl.: 428/40.1; 283/81,101	1		
Applicant				
AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION				
<ol> <li>This written opinion is the fir</li> </ol>	st (first, etc.) drawn by	this International Pre	liminary Examining Au	thority.
				•
<ol><li>This opinion contains indicati</li></ol>	ons relating to the follow	ing items:		
I Basis of the opinio	on			
= :	on			
II Priority				
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to	o novelty, inventive :	step and industrial appli	cability
IV Lack of unity of i		•		
IV Lack of unity of i	nvention			
	nt under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) anations supporting such s		ty, inventive step or inc	lustriai appiicability;
VI Certain document	s cited			
VII Certain defects in	the international applicati			
VII Certain defects in	the international applicati	ion		
VIII Certain observation	ons on the international ap	plication		
<ol><li>The applicant is hereby invit</li></ol>				
	limit indicated above. The to grant an extension. Se		ore the expiration of tha	t time limit, request
How? By submitting For the form	a written reply, accompa and the language of the ar	nnied, where appropr mendments, see Rule	iate, by amendments, a s 66.8 and 66.9.	ccording to Rule 66.3.
For the exam	onal opportunity to submit iner's obligation to considual tal communication with the	ler amendments and/	or arguments, see Rule	66.4 bis.
If no reply is filed, the inter-				is of this opinion.
4. The final date by which the i	nternational preliminary	•		•
examination report must be e		ule 69.2 is: 16 Decer	nber 2004 (16.12.2004	<u>)     </u> .
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	VUS	1010		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		001 all 2	h /	
P.O. Box 1450		Hall MY. Pyon	76N°	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. 5	71-272-1200	
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(Ju	lv 1998)	1		

International application No.

PCT/US03/25584

I.	Basi	s of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	$\boxtimes$	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-14 pages NONE , filed with the demand
	Ø	pages NONE , filed with the letter of  the claims:
		pages 15-29 as originally filed pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings: pages 1-7 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:  pages NONE, as originally filed  pages NONE, filed with the demand  pages NONE, filed with the letter of
2.	lang	n regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language
	Н	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	H	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	Ш	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.		h regard to any mucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written ion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
	Ц	contained in the international application in printed form.
	Ц	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Щ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	$\square$	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	Ш	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5.		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
* thi	Repla s opin	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in tion as "originally filed."

International application No. PCT/US03/25584	

ii) with regar ch statement	d to novelty, inventive step or inde	ıstrial applicability;
Claims	5, 17 and 26-29	YE
Claims	1-4, 6-16, and 18-25	NO
Claims	NONE	YE
Claims	1-29	NO
Claims	1-29	YE
Claims	NONE	N0
	Claims Claims Claims	Claims 5, 17 and 26-29 Claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-25  Claims NONE Claims 1-29 Claims 1-29 Claims NONE

International application No.	
PCT/US03/25584	

# VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 13 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim is indefinite for the following reason(s): there is no antecedent basis for the phrase "the adhesive."

International application No. PCT/US03/25584

#### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

#### TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

#### V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-3, 6-7, and 12 lack novelty over Aoyagi (#4,032,679).

Aoyagi discloses a multi-removable label construction comprising a face stock layer that is back with pressure sensitive adhesive, a cut patter in the face stock layer that defines a removable sub-label within the face stock layer, and a release liner member that backs and extends beyond the removable sub-label, and that backs less than the entire area of the face stock layer. The face stock layer comprises adhesive coated paper and the removable sub-label comprises information printed thereon. The multi-removable label is provided on a sheet comprising a plurality of labels.

Claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-25 lack novelty over Stipek, Jr. (#3,914,483).

Stipek, Jr. disclose a multi-removable label construction comprising a face stock layer that is backed with the face stock layer that defines a removable sub-label within the face stock layer (Zol. 2, lines 1-3; Fig. 6, #33), a release liner member that backs and extends beyond the removable sub-label (Zol. 1, lines 57-62; see Fig. #25). The face stock layer comprises adhesive coated paper (Zol. 1, lines 51-32) and the removable sub-label comprises information printed thereon (Zol. 1, lines 51-13). The face stock layer comprises adhesive coated paper (Zol. 1, lines 51-152) and the removable sub-label comprises information printed thereon (Zol. 1, lines 10-13). The information of the face stock layer (Zol. 2, lines 18-29; Col. 3, lines 13-17 - note that the Examiner has defined indicia of an "informative nature" to be inclusive of mailing information). The multi-removable label is provided on a sheet comprising a plurality of labels (Zol. 1, lines 16-15).

Stipek, Jr. further discloses a multi-label sheet assembly comprising a first removable means for providing a first removable label and second removable means for providing at least one second removable label have discount for movement and the state of the second removable label is formed by and within the first removable label firig. 6; Col. 2, lines 1-10 and 43-46). The first removable label means comprises adhesive coated paper (Col. 1, lines 51-34) and the second removable label means comprises information primate thereon (Col. 1, lines 10-13; Col. 2, lines 18-29; Col. 3, lines 11-7). The first removable label may comprise a return address removable label and the at least one second removable label may comprise a send address removable label (Col. 1, lines 10-13; Col. 2, lines 18-29; Col. 3, lines 11-7).

Stipek, Jr. further discloses a multi-removable label construction comprising a face stock layer that is backed with pressure assitive adhesive, a first cut pattern in the face stock layer and an adhesive that defines an outer label, a second cut pattern in the face stock layer and the adhesive that defines at least one inner label within the outer label, a release liner member that backs and extends beyond the inner label, and that backs less than an entire area of the face stock layer and the adhesive (see Fig. 6). The face stock layer comprises adhesive coated paper (Col. 1, lines 51-54). The at least one inner label comprises information printed thereon wherein the information may be mailing information (Col. 1, lines 10-13; Col. 2, lines 18-29; Col. 3, lines 13-17). The multi-removable sheet is provided on a sheet comprising a plurality of multi-removable labels (see Fig. 1).

Finally, Stipek, Jr. disclose a label assembly comprising a face stock layer coated with pressure sensitive adhesive, the face stock being backed with a release liner, a first line of weakness pattern in the face stock layer defining a sensitive adhesive.

International application No. PCT/US03/25584

### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

line of weakness patter within the first line of weakness pattern defining a second removable label, the first and second line of weakness patterning through the back stock but not the release liner, and a third line of weakness pattern in the release liner the third line of weakness pattern being intermediate to the first and second line of weakness patterns, said third line of weakness patterns extending through the release liner but not the face stock layer (see Fig. 6; Col. 2, lines 1-10 and 30-46). The label assembly may further comprise a fourth line of weakness pattern within the first line of weakness pattern lefting an additionaling an additionaling an additional line of weakness are formable within the label substrate, at least one of the lines of weakness can serve as a fold line to facilitate separation of the labels wherein the fold line can be one of the lines of weaknesses outside the second line of weakness. The lines of weakness are time (suc (Col. 2, lines 30-46).

Claims 5 and 17 lack an inventive step over Stipek, Jr. ('483) in view of DeMatte et al. (#5,985,424).

Stipek teaches a multi-removable label as detailed above. Although Stipek teaches that the face stock sheet comprises indicia imprired thereon or otherwise affixed to the face or front surface (Col. 2, lines 18-20), Stipek fails to teach the use of an indjet inkreceptive coating layer on the paper face stock sheet.

DeMatte et al., however, teach the use of imprimable paper substrates comprising an additional inkjet ink-receptive coating (see Abstract). DeMatte et al. teach the use of an inkjet ink-receptive coating for the purpose of providing a substrate whose printed surface has a superior capacity for keeping the dyes in the ink on the surface with minimal spreading, tailing, or blurring, thereby providing a sharp image (see Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have combined the teachings of Stipke and DeMatte et al. since each of the aforementioned references are analogous insofar as providing paper substrates with printed indicia, DeMatte et al. ultimately providing an improvement upon imprintable paper substrates by including an ink-receptive layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified Stipek to include an inkjet ink-receptive layer on the paper face stock sheet as taught by DeMatte et al. in order to provide a substrate whose printed surface has a superior capacity for keeping the dyes in the ink on the surface with minimal spreading, tailing, or blurring, thereby providing a sharp image.

Claims 26-29 lack an inventive step over Stipek, Jr. ('483) in view of Rawlings (#6,170,879), GB 2 177 373 (hereinafter GB '373), or Langan (#5,686,159).

Stipek teaches a label assembly as detailed above. Stipek fails to teach the use of a piggyback label-type embodiment. It is notoriously well known in the art, however, to provide label substrates in piggyback label-type formation for the purpose of providing a label with a removable portion the ability to be adhered to a product such that the product user can remove the removable portion of the label assembly while the remainder of the label assembly remains ratached to the product as evidenced by Rawlings (see Figs. 1-6), GB 1973 (see Figs. 1-16). With regards to lines of weakness, Langan teaches the use of lines of weakness through the entire substrate (see Figs. 5-6, 443) for the purpose of facilitating easy removal of the labels (Col. 4, lines 32-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have combined the teachings of Stipek, Jr. with Rawlings, GB '373, or Langan ('159) since each of the aforementioned references are analogous insofar as providing label substrates with removable sub-portions, whereby each of Rawlings, GB '373, and Langat (esceh an improvement) or Stipek in providing an additional adhesive layer via a piggyback label-type formation to facilitate application to a substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art it the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified Stipek to include a pigaphak Label-type embodiment as raught by Rawlings, GB '373, or Langan in order to provide a label with a removable portion the ability to be adhered to a product such that the product user can remove the removable portion of the label assembly while the remainder of the label assembly remains attached to the product as evidenced by Rawlings. It would have been further obvious to modify Stipek to include lines of weaknesses in each of the label layers based on the teachings of kipick alone since Stipek teaches that the lines of weaknesses facultitate removable sub-portions, or in view of the teachings of Langan, since Langan also eaches the use of lines of weakness through the entire substrate of calitates earn removal of the label.

	ū		
 NEW CITATIONS			
•		•	